(1) (4)

C/P. 1001-01-

BEFORE THE JUDICATURE OF HON'BLE CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT

AT BILASPUR

W.P. 1491 /2001

(WRIT UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 CONSTITUTION OF INDIA)

PETITIONERS :

1. Shankar Lal Sharma S/o Late Shri Jhumuk Lal Sharma aged about 52 years, Revenue Inspector, Maro Circle, Tahsil Nawagarh District Durg. (Chhattisgarh).
2. S.L. Mankar S/o Shri Ramchandra Mankar aged about 48 years, Revenue Inspector Nazul Durg, Distt. Durg (Chhattisgarh)

P. R. No. 1397/01
Received by Shri ...
dated ...

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh through Chief Secretary Raipur
2. Lok Ayukta through Secretary, Bhopal (M.P.) old Sachiwalaya, Bhopal (M.P.)
3. Superintendent Police, Special Police Establishment Lok Ayukta, Raipur (C.G.)
4. Shri Anil Pathak, Inspector of Police Special Police Establishment Lok Ayukta, Raipur Investing Officer.
5. S-hri Toman Singh Sonwani Then Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue), Patan District Durg, Presently posted as (Revenue) Govt. of Chh-

..2..

प्रमाणित
किशोर भारत
बिलासपुर (छ.ग.)

5602 KISHORE

Chhattisgarh at Raipur.

6. Competent Authority, Urban Land Ceiling, Durg-Bhilai. Durg.

7. Shri G.L. Yadav,
Then Naib Tahasildar, Bhilai-3
Now at Balod, District Durg (C.G.)

8. Shri B.R. Lahari, Tahasildar,
Patan Distt. Durg.

9. S hri A.K. Shrivastava, then Tahasildar, Patan Now Posted at Simaga District Raipur.

10. Shri M.D. Tigala, then Naib Tahasildar Bhilai-3 Now posted at Bhatapara District Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226,227 CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(109) (120)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.1491/2001 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

07/01/2002 :-

Shri Sanjay Kumar Dewan, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Rajiv Shrivastava, learned counsel for the State.

Heard.

A report was lodged on 02/03/2001 against the petitioners. ~~Same~~ translated copy from Hindi into English has been submitted by respondent No.4 Shri Anil Pathak Inspector of Police, Special Police Establishment, Lokayukta, Raipur as Investigating Officer.

The main relief sought in this petition is for quashing the investigation directed against the petitioners and 6 (Six) others.

I have carefully perused the report. Various allegations have been made against the petitioners and others under various provisions of law regarding corruption. In the facts and circumstances of such a case it will not be proper for this Court in exercise of its extra ordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to interfere with the investigation. In my view it is for the petitioner to seek remedy before any appropriate authority. Since this matter is now spending before Lokayukta, in my view it is open to the petitioner to approach the said authority for redressal

पीछे देखिये

आदेश पत्रक (पुर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	of his grievance. At this stage in my view it will not be fair to interfere with the investigation on the basis of the report stated above. Accordingly this petition is rejected. Sd/- Chief Justice	